



JANET L. LAWSON  
DIRECTOR

**COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA**  
*Department Of Human Resource Management*  
*Office of Employment Dispute Resolution*

James Monroe Building  
101 N. 14<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Richmond, Virginia 23219

Tel: (804) 225-2131  
(TTY) 711

## **COMPLIANCE RULING**

In the matter of the Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services  
Ruling Number 2026-5917  
July 16, 2025

The grievant has requested a compliance ruling from the Office of Employment Dispute Resolution (EDR) at the Department of Human Resource Management (DHRM) in relation to the grievant's June 19, 2025 grievance with the Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services ("the agency").

### **FACTS**

On or about June 19, 2025, the grievant initiated a grievance with the agency, submitting the grievance by email to his supervisor. Having apparently received no response from the supervisor, the grievant notified him of the grievance having been filed and resent a copy of the grievance by email on June 27, 2025. As the supervisor did not apparently respond again, the grievant sought this ruling on July 11, 2025.

### **DISCUSSION**

The grievance procedure requires both parties to address procedural noncompliance through a specific process.<sup>1</sup> That process assures that the parties first communicate with each other about the noncompliance, and resolve any problems voluntarily, without EDR's involvement. Specifically, the party claiming noncompliance must notify the other party in writing and allow five workdays for the opposing party to correct any noncompliance.<sup>2</sup> If the opposing party fails to correct the noncompliance within this five-day period, the party claiming noncompliance may seek a compliance ruling from EDR, who may in turn order the party to correct the noncompliance or, in cases of substantial noncompliance, render a decision against the noncomplying party on any qualifiable issue. When EDR finds that either party to a grievance is in noncompliance, its ruling will (i) order the noncomplying party to correct its noncompliance within a specified time period, and (ii) provide that if the noncompliance is not timely corrected, a decision in favor of the other

---

<sup>1</sup> *Grievance Procedure Manual* § 6.3.

<sup>2</sup> *See id.*

party will be rendered on any qualifiable issue, unless the noncomplying party can show just cause for the delay in conforming to EDR's order.<sup>3</sup>

In this case, the grievant's supervisor and presumed first-step respondent appears to have failed to respond to the grievance within five workdays of receipt, as required by the grievance procedure.<sup>4</sup> Moreover, the agency has not responded to the grievance since receiving the grievant's resubmission of the grievance on June 27.<sup>5</sup> Because the agency has apparently not responded to the filing of the grievance at this time, they have failed to comply with the grievance procedure. EDR therefore orders the agency to correct the noncompliance **within five workdays of the date of this ruling** by the first-step respondent responding to the grievance in writing per the requirements of the grievance procedure (if this has not already occurred).

EDR's rulings on matters of compliance are final and nonappealable.<sup>6</sup>

*Christopher M. Grab*  
Director  
Office of Employment Dispute Resolution

---

<sup>3</sup> Although the grievance statutes grant EDR the authority to render a decision on a qualifiable issue against a noncompliant party in cases of substantial noncompliance with procedural rules, EDR favors having grievances decided on the merits rather than procedural violations. Thus, EDR will *typically* order noncompliance corrected before rendering a decision against a noncompliant party. However, where a party's noncompliance appears driven by bad faith or a gross disregard of the grievance procedure, EDR will exercise its authority to rule against the party without first ordering the noncompliance to be corrected.

<sup>4</sup> See *Grievance Procedure Manual* § 3.1.

<sup>5</sup> While the grievant did not send the agency head a formal notice of noncompliance, in the interest of expediency EDR has elected to nevertheless address the apparent failure to respond to this grievance.

<sup>6</sup> See Va. Code §§ 2.2-1202.1(5), 2.2-3003(G).