

JANET L. LAWSON DIRECTOR

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

Department Of Human Resource Management Office of Employment Dispute Resolution

James Monroe Building 101 N. 14th Street, 12th Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 Tel: (804) 225-2131 (TTY) 711

COMPLIANCE RULING

In the matter of the Virginia Department of Transportation Ruling Number 2023-5476 October 27, 2022

The Virginia Department of Transportation (the "agency") has requested a compliance ruling from the Office of Employment Dispute Resolution ("EDR") at the Department of Human Resource Management in relation to the grievant's June 17, 2022 grievance. The agency alleges that the grievant has failed to comply with the time limits set forth in the grievance procedure for advancing or concluding his grievance.

FACTS

On or about June 17, 2022, the grievant initiated a grievance with the agency. The third step response was provided to the grievant on or about August 15. Operating under the belief that no further response had been received from the grievant, the agency then sent, via certified mail, a notice of noncompliance to him on or about September 28.1 In its notice of noncompliance, the agency requested a response from the grievant within five workdays of his receipt of the notice. As more than five workdays have elapsed since the agency notified the grievant of his alleged noncompliance and the grievant has not yet advanced or concluded his grievance, the agency seeks a compliance ruling allowing it to administratively close the grievance.

DISCUSSION

The grievance procedure requires both parties to address procedural noncompliance through a specific process.² That process assures that the parties first communicate with each other about the noncompliance, and resolve any problems voluntarily, without EDR's involvement. Specifically, the party claiming noncompliance must notify the other party in writing and allow five workdays for the opposing party to correct any noncompliance.³ If the opposing party fails to correct the noncompliance within this five-day period, the party claiming noncompliance may seek a compliance ruling from EDR, who may in turn order the party to correct the noncompliance or, in cases of substantial noncompliance, render a decision against the noncomplying party on any

¹ Tracking information from the U.S. Postal Service indicates that the grievant received this mailing on October 4,

² Grievance Procedure Manual § 6.3.

³ See id.

October 27, 2022 Ruling No. 2023-5476 Page 2

qualifiable issue. When EDR finds that either party to a grievance is in noncompliance, its ruling will (i) order the noncomplying party to correct its noncompliance within a specified time period, and (ii) provide that if the noncompliance is not timely corrected, a decision in favor of the other party will be rendered on any qualifiable issue, unless the noncomplying party can show just cause for the delay in conforming to EDR's order.⁴

In this case, the grievant appears to have failed to advance or conclude his grievance within five workdays of receiving the agency's third resolution step response, as required by the grievance procedure.⁵ Moreover, the agency notified the grievant of his noncompliance and he has not advanced or concluded his grievance.

As the grievant has apparently failed to advance or conclude his grievance in a timely manner, he has failed to comply with the grievance procedure. EDR therefore orders the grievant to correct his noncompliance within ten workdays of the date of this ruling by notifying the agency's human resources office in writing that he wishes to either conclude the grievance or request qualification of his grievance for a hearing from the agency head. If he does not, the agency may administratively close the grievance without any further action on its part. The grievance may be reopened only upon a timely showing by the grievant of just cause for the delay (for example, a serious illness, or other circumstances beyond the grievant's control).

EDR's rulings on matters of compliance are final and nonappealable.⁶

Christopher M. Grab
Director
Office of Employment Dispute Resolution

⁴ Although the grievance statutes grant EDR the authority to render a decision on a qualifiable issue against a noncompliant party in cases of substantial noncompliance with procedural rules, EDR favors having grievances decided on the merits rather than procedural violations. Thus, EDR will *typically* order noncompliance corrected before rendering a decision against a noncompliant party. However, where a party's noncompliance appears driven by bad faith or a gross disregard of the grievance procedure, EDR will exercise its authority to rule against the party without first ordering the noncompliance to be corrected.

⁵ See Grievance Procedure Manual § 3.3.

⁶ See Va. Code §§ 2.2-1202.1(5), 2.2-3003(G).