Issue: Consolidation of grievances for a single hearing; Ruling Date: November 27, 2012; Ruling No. 2013-3481; Agency: Department of Juvenile Justice; Outcome: Consolidation Granted.



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

Department of Human Resource ManagementOffice of Employment Dispute Resolution

CONSOLIDATION RULING

In the matter of the Department of Juvenile Justice Ruling Number 2013-3481 November 27, 2012

This ruling addresses the consolidation for hearing of the grievant's November 14, 2012 dismissal grievance with the grievance at issue in Case Number 9940. For the reasons discussed below, EDR finds that consolidation of these grievances into a single hearing is appropriate and practicable.

FACTS

The grievance at issue in Case Number 9940 (Grievance 1) challenges a Group I Written Notice issued to the grievant. The dismissal grievance (Grievance 2) challenges issues related to and surrounding the grievant's termination. The Department of Juvenile Justice (the agency) qualified Grievance 1 for a hearing and requested the appointment of a hearing officer (Case Number 9802). Following appointment of Case Number 9940 to a hearing officer, EDR received Grievance 2. Upon receiving notice of the filing of Grievance 2, the agency has asked to consolidate both grievances for a single hearing.

DISCUSSION

Approval by the Office of Employment Dispute Resolution (EDR) at the Department of Human Resource Management in the form of a compliance ruling is required before two or more grievances may be consolidated in a single hearing. Moreover, EDR may consolidate grievances for hearing without a request from either party. EDR strongly favors consolidation and will consolidate grievances when they involve the same parties, legal issues, policies, and/or factual background, unless there is a persuasive reason to process the grievances individually. 2

EDR finds that consolidation of these two grievances for hearing is appropriate. Both grievances involve the same grievant and may share common themes, claims, and witnesses. The grievances challenge management actions involving related issues that led to the grievant's termination. Moreover, we find that consolidation is not impracticable in this instance. As such, the grievant's November 14, 2012 dismissal grievance (Grievance 2) is consolidated with Case

¹ Grievance Procedure Manual § 8.5.

² See id.

November 27, 2012 Ruling No. 2013-3481 Page 3

Number 9940 for a single hearing. Appointment of a hearing officer in Grievance 2 will be made in a forthcoming letter. The hearing officer who has been assigned Grievance 1 will be appointed for Grievance 2 as well. The hearing officer may schedule the hearing once both matters are appointed in his discretion following a pre-hearing conference with the parties. The new effective date of appointment for both matters will be the forthcoming effective date of appointment in Grievance 2.

EDR's rulings on compliance are final and nonappealable.³

Christopher M. Grab

Director, Office of Employment Dispute Resolution

³ See Va. Code § 2.2-1202.1(5), 2.2-3003(G).