Issue: Qualification – Performance Evaluation (Notice of Improvement Needed); Ruling Date: October 12, 2012; Ruling No. 2013-3444; Agency: James Madison University; Outcome: Not Qualified.



# COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA Department of Human Resource Management

Office of Employment Dispute Resolution

## **QUALIFICATION RULING**

In the matter of James Madison University Ruling Number 2013-3444 October 12, 2012

The grievant has requested a ruling on whether her June 18, 2012 grievance with James Madison University (the University) qualifies for a hearing. For the reasons discussed below, this grievance does not qualify for a hearing.

#### **FACTS**

On or about June 11, 2012, the grievant received a Notification of Improvement Needed. The grievant initiated a grievance to challenge this management action on or about June 18, 2012. The grievant also raises the issue of retaliation for her filing of a complaint of discrimination in September 2011. After proceeding through the management steps, the agency head declined to qualify the grievance for a hearing. The grievant now appeals that determination to the Office of Employment Dispute Resolution (EDR) at the Department of Human Resource Management.

### **DISCUSSION**

Although state employees with access to the grievance procedure may generally grieve anything related to their employment, only certain grievances qualify for a hearing. Additionally, the grievance statutes and procedure reserve to management the exclusive right to manage the affairs and operations of state government. Thus, claims relating to issues such as the methods, means and personnel by which work activities are to be carried out generally do not qualify for a hearing, unless the grievant presents evidence raising a sufficient question as to whether discrimination, retaliation, or discipline may have improperly influenced management's decision, or whether state policy may have been misapplied or unfairly applied.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Grievance Procedure Manual § 4.1 (a) and (b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Va. Code § 2.2-3004(B).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Va. Code § 2.2-3004(A); Grievance Procedure Manual § 4.1(c).

Further, the grievance procedure generally limits grievances that qualify for a hearing to those that involve "adverse employment actions." Thus, typically, the threshold question is whether the grievant has suffered an adverse employment action. An adverse employment action is defined as a "tangible employment action constitut[ing] a significant change in employment status, such as hiring, firing, failing to promote, reassignment with significantly different responsibilities, or a decision causing a significant change in benefits." Adverse employment actions include any agency actions that have an adverse effect *on the terms, conditions, or benefits* of one's employment.

The management action challenged in this grievance, a Notification of Improvement Needed, is a form of written counseling. It is not, as the grievant alleges, equivalent to a Written Notice of formal discipline. A written counseling does not generally constitute an adverse employment action, because such an action, in and of itself, does not have a significant detrimental effect on the terms, conditions, or benefits of employment. Therefore, the grievant's claims relating to her receipt of the Notification of Improvement Needed do not qualify for a hearing. 8

We note that while the "Notification of Improvement Needed" has not had an adverse impact on the grievant's employment, it could be used later to support an adverse employment action against the grievant. Therefore, should the Notification of Improvement Needed grieved in this case later serve to support an adverse employment action against the grievant, such as a formal Written Notice or a "Below Contributor" annual performance rating, this ruling does not prevent the grievant from attempting to contest the merits of these allegations through a subsequent grievance challenging the related adverse employment action.

#### APPEAL RIGHTS AND OTHER INFORMATION

EDR's qualification rulings are final and nonappealable. The nonappealability of such rulings became effective on July 1, 2012. Because the instant grievance was initiated prior to that date, it is not EDR's role to foreclose any appeal rights that may still exist for the grievant under prior law. If the grievant wishes to attempt to appeal the qualification determination to the circuit court, the grievant should notify the human resources office, in writing, within five workdays of receipt of this ruling and file a notice of appeal with the circuit court pursuant to former Va. Code § 2.2-3004(E). EDR makes no representations as to whether such an appeal is

<sup>9</sup> Va. Code § 2.2-1202.1(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Grievance Procedure Manual § 4.1(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Burlington Industries, Inc. v. Ellerth, 524 U.S. 742, 761 (1998).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Holland v. Washington Homes, Inc., 487 F.3d 208, 219 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Boone v. Goldin, 178 F.3d 253 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Although this grievance does not qualify for an administrative hearing under the grievance process, the grievant may have additional rights under the Virginia Government Data Collection and Dissemination Practices Act (the Act). Under the Act, if the grievant gives notice that she wishes to challenge, correct or explain information contained in her personnel file, the agency shall conduct an investigation regarding the information challenged, and if the information in dispute is not corrected or purged or the dispute is otherwise not resolved, allow the grievant to file a statement of not more than 200 words setting forth his position regarding the information. Va. Code § 2.2-3806(A)(5). This "statement of dispute" shall accompany the disputed information in any subsequent dissemination or use of the information in question. Va. Code § 2.2-3806(A)(5).

October 12, 2012 Ruling Nos. 2013-3444 Page 4

proper or can be accepted by the circuit court. Such matters are for the circuit court to decide. If the court should qualify this grievance, within five workdays of receipt of the court's decision, the agency will request the appointment of a hearing officer unless the grievant wishes to conclude the grievance and notifies the agency of that desire.

Christopher M. Grab

Ut the Sh

Senior Consultant

Office of Employment Dispute Resolution