Issue: Compliance – Grievance Procedure (5-Day Rule); Ruling Date: September 21, 2010; Ruling #2011-2774; Agency: Department of Corrections; Outcome: Grievant Not In Compliance. September 21, 2010 Ruling #2011-2774 Page 2



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA Department of Employment Dispute Resolution

COMPLIANCE RULING OF DIRECTOR

In the matter of Department of Corrections Ruling No. 2011-2774 September 21, 2010

The Department of Corrections (DOC or the agency) seeks to administratively close the grievant's June 15, 2010 grievance. The agency alleges that the grievant has failed to comply with the time limits set forth in the grievance procedure for advancing or concluding her grievance.

FACTS

On June 15, 2010, the grievant initiated an expedited grievance challenging a Group III Written Notice and suspension she received for alleged falsification of time records. According to the agency, the second resolution step response was forwarded to the Grievant on or about July 12, 2010. Because the grievant did not advance or conclude her grievance within 5 workdays of receiving the second resolution step response, the agency sent the grievant a notice of noncompliance dated August 17, 2010 via certified mail. The notice of noncompliance was apparently delivered on August 20, 2010. Because more than five workdays have elapsed since the agency's notification of noncompliance, and the grievant has apparently not yet cured the non-compliance, the agency seeks a compliance ruling.

DISCUSSION

The grievance procedure requires both parties to address procedural noncompliance through a specific process.¹ That process assures that the parties first communicate with each other about the noncompliance, and resolve any compliance problems voluntarily, without this Department's (EDR's) involvement. Specifically, the party claiming noncompliance must notify the other party in writing and allow five workdays for the opposing party to correct any noncompliance.² If the opposing party fails to correct the noncompliance within this five-day period, the party claiming noncompliance must notify from the EDR Director, who may in turn order the party to correct the noncompliance or, in cases of substantial noncompliance, render a decision against the noncomplying party on any qualifiable issue. When an

¹ Grievance Procedure Manual § 6.3.

 $^{^{2}}$ See Id.

September 21, 2010 Ruling #2011-2774 Page 3

EDR ruling finds that either party to a grievance is in noncompliance, the ruling will (i) order the noncomplying party to correct its noncompliance within a specified time period, and (ii) provide that if the noncompliance is not timely corrected, a decision in favor of the other party will be rendered on any qualifiable issue, unless the noncomplying party can show just cause for the delay in conforming to EDR's order.³

In this case, the grievant appears to have failed to advance or conclude her grievance within five workdays of presumably receiving the second resolution step response. Moreover, the agency appears to have notified the grievant of her noncompliance, but the grievant has not advanced or concluded her grievance.

As the grievant has apparently failed to advance or conclude her grievance in a timely manner, she has failed to comply with the grievance procedure.⁴ This Department therefore orders the grievant to correct her noncompliance, if she has not done so in the interim, **within ten work days of the date of this ruling** by notifying her human resources office in writing that she wishes either to conclude her grievance or advance the grievance to the agency head for a qualification determination. If she does not, the agency may administratively close the grievance without any further action on its part. The grievance may be reopened only upon a timely showing by the grievant of just cause for the delay (for example, a serious illness, or other circumstances beyond the grievant's control).

This Department's rulings on matters of compliance are final and nonappealable. 5

Claudia T. Farr Director

³ While in cases of substantial noncompliance with procedural rules the grievance statutes grant the EDR Director the authority to render a decision on a qualifiable issue against a noncompliant party, this Department favors having grievances decided on the merits rather than procedural violations. Thus, the EDR Director will *typically* order noncompliance corrected before rendering a decision against a noncompliant party. However, where a party's noncompliance appears driven by bad faith or a gross disregard of the grievance procedure, this Department will exercise its authority to rule against the party without first ordering the noncompliance to be corrected.

⁴ See Grievance Procedure Manual § 3.2.

⁵ See Va. Code § 2.2-1001(5); 2.2-3003(G).