Issue: Compliance – Grievance Procedure (5-Day Rule); Ruling Date: September 2, 2010; Ruling #2011-2747; Agency: Department of Corrections; Outcome: Grievant Not in Compliance.



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA Department of Employment Dispute Resolution

COMPLIANCE RULING OF DIRECTOR

In the matter of Department of Corrections Ruling No. 2011-2747 September 2, 2010

The Department of Corrections ("the agency") seeks to administratively close the grievant's March 12, 2010 grievance. The agency alleges that the grievant has failed to comply with the time limits set forth in the grievance procedure for advancing or concluding her grievance.

FACTS

On March 12, 2010, the grievant initiated an expedited grievance challenging her being placed on conditional leave without pay. Because the grievant allegedly failed to advance or conclude her grievance within five workdays of presumably receiving the April 22, 2010 second resolution step response following the face-to-face fact-finding meeting, the agency sent the grievant a notice of noncompliance on or about June 22, 2010. Because more than five workdays have elapsed since the grievant received the notice of noncompliance on June 25, 2010, and the grievant has not yet cured the noncompliance, the agency seeks a compliance ruling.

DISCUSSION

The grievance procedure requires both parties to address procedural noncompliance through a specific process.¹ That process assures that the parties first communicate with each other about the noncompliance, and resolve any compliance problems voluntarily, without this Department's (EDR's) involvement. Specifically, the party claiming noncompliance must notify the other party in writing and allow five workdays for the opposing party to correct any noncompliance.² If the opposing party fails to correct the noncompliance within this five-day period, the party claiming noncompliance may seek a compliance ruling from the EDR Director,

¹ Grievance Procedure Manual § 6.3.

² See Id.

September 2, 2010 Ruling #2011-2747 Page 3

who may in turn order the party to correct the noncompliance or, in cases of substantial noncompliance, render a decision against the noncomplying party on any qualifiable issue. When an EDR ruling finds that either party to a grievance is in noncompliance, the ruling will (i) order the noncomplying party to correct its noncompliance within a specified time period, and (ii) provide that if the noncompliance is not timely corrected, a decision in favor of the other party will be rendered on any qualifiable issue, unless the noncomplying party can show just cause for the delay in conforming to EDR's order.³

In this case, the grievant appears to have failed to advance or conclude her grievance within five workdays of receiving the sole management resolution step response in this grievance.⁴ Moreover, the agency notified the grievant of her noncompliance, but the grievant has apparently not advanced or concluded her grievance.

As the grievant has apparently failed to advance or conclude her grievance in a timely manner, she has failed to comply with the grievance procedure.⁵ This Department therefore orders the grievant to correct her noncompliance within ten work days of the date of this ruling by notifying her human resources office in writing that she wishes either to conclude her grievance or advance the grievance to the agency head for a qualification determination. If she does not, the agency may administratively close the grievance without any further action on its part. The grievance may be reopened only upon a timely showing by the grievant of just cause for the delay (for example, a serious illness, or other circumstances beyond the grievant's control).

This Department's rulings on matters of compliance are final and nonappealable.⁶

Claudia T. Farr Director

³ While in cases of substantial noncompliance with procedural rules the grievance statutes grant the EDR Director the authority to render a decision on a qualifiable issue against a noncompliant party, this Department favors having grievances decided on the merits rather than procedural violations. Thus, the EDR Director will *typically* order noncompliance corrected before rendering a decision against a noncompliant party. However, where a party's noncompliance appears driven by bad faith or a gross disregard of the grievance procedure, this Department will exercise its authority to rule against the party without first ordering the noncompliance to be corrected.

⁴ Because the grievant elected to use the expedited grievance process, this grievance has only one management step. *See Grievance Procedure Manual* § 2.4.

⁵ See Grievance Procedure Manual § 3.2.

⁶ See Va. Code § 2.2-1001(5); 2.2-3003(G).