

Issue: Compliance – Grievance Procedure (5-Day Rule); Ruling Date: July 19, 2010; Ruling #2011-2693; Agency: Virginia Department of Transportation; Outcome: Grievant Not In Compliance.



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA
Department of Employment Dispute Resolution

COMPLIANCE RULING OF DIRECTOR

In the matter of Department of Transportation
Ruling No. 2011-2693
July 19, 2010

The Department of Transportation (“agency”) seeks to administratively close the grievant’s October 14, 2009 grievance. The agency alleges that the grievant has failed to comply with the time limits set forth in the grievance procedure for advancing or concluding his grievance.

FACTS

On October 14, 2009, the grievant initiated a grievance challenging his placement during the layoff process. The grievance advanced through the management resolution steps but was not qualified for hearing by the agency head. Accordingly, the grievant requested that this Department qualify his grievance for hearing. In EDR Ruling No. 2010-2562, this Department declined to qualify it and informed him that:

If the grievant wishes to appeal the qualification determination to the circuit court, the grievant should notify the human resources office, in writing, within five workdays of receipt of this ruling and file a notice of appeal with the circuit court pursuant to Va. Code § 2.2-3004(E). If the court should qualify this grievance, within five workdays of receipt of the court’s decision, the agency will request the appointment of a hearing officer unless the grievant wishes to conclude the grievance and notifies the agency of that desire.

According to the agency, because it could find no evidence that the grievant either requested to advance or conclude his grievance, the agency sent the grievant a written correspondence on May 17, 2010 directing him to either advance or conclude it within five workdays. The letter informed the grievant that if he did neither, the agency would seek permission from EDR to administratively close the grievance. As more than five workdays have elapsed since the agency’s notification of the alleged noncompliance, and

the grievant has apparently not yet cured his apparent failure to advance or conclude his grievance, the agency seeks a compliance ruling.

DISCUSSION

The grievance procedure requires both parties to address procedural noncompliance through a specific process.¹ That process assures that the parties first communicate with each other about the noncompliance, and resolve any compliance problems voluntarily, without this Department's (EDR's) involvement. Specifically, the party claiming noncompliance must notify the other party in writing and allow five workdays for the opposing party to correct any noncompliance.² If the opposing party fails to correct the noncompliance within this five-day period, the party claiming noncompliance may seek a compliance ruling from the EDR Director, who may in turn order the party to correct the noncompliance or, in cases of substantial noncompliance, render a decision against the noncomplying party on any qualifiable issue. When an EDR ruling finds that either party to a grievance is in noncompliance, the ruling will (i) order the noncomplying party to correct its noncompliance within a specified time period, and (ii) provide that if the noncompliance is not timely corrected, a decision in favor of the other party will be rendered on any qualifiable issue, unless the noncomplying party can show just cause for the delay in conforming to EDR's order.³

In this case, the grievant appears to have failed to advance or conclude his grievance within five workdays of presumably receiving this Department's qualification decision (EDR Ruling No. 2010-2562). Moreover, the agency appears to have notified the grievant of his apparent failure to advance or conclude, but he has apparently done neither.

As the grievant has apparently failed to advance or conclude his grievance in a timely manner, he has failed to comply with the grievance procedure.⁴ This Department therefore orders the grievant to correct his noncompliance **within ten work days of the date of this ruling** by notifying his human resources office in writing that he wishes either to conclude his grievance or advance it to the circuit court for a qualification determination. If he does neither, the agency may administratively close the grievance without any further action on its part. The grievance may be reopened only upon a timely showing by the grievant of just cause for the delay (for example, a serious illness, or other circumstances beyond the grievant's control).

¹ *Grievance Procedure Manual* § 6.3.

² *See Id.*

³ While in cases of substantial noncompliance with procedural rules the grievance statutes grant the EDR Director the authority to render a decision on a qualifiable issue against a noncompliant party, this Department favors having grievances decided on the merits rather than procedural violations. Thus, the EDR Director will *typically* order noncompliance corrected before rendering a decision against a noncompliant party. However, where a party's noncompliance appears driven by bad faith or a gross disregard of the grievance procedure, this Department will exercise its authority to rule against the party without first ordering the noncompliance to be corrected.

⁴ *See Grievance Procedure Manual* § 4.4; EDR Ruling No. 2010-2609.

July 19, 2010
Ruling #2011-2693
Page 4

This Department's rulings on matters of compliance are final and nonappealable.⁵

Claudia T. Farr
Director

⁵ See Va. Code § 2.2-1001(5); 2.2-3003(G).