

Issue: Compliance – Grievance Procedure (5-Day Rule); Ruling Date: July 27, 2009; Ruling #2010-2364; Agency: Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services; Outcome: Grievant Not In Compliance.



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA
Department of Employment Dispute Resolution

COMPLIANCE RULING OF DIRECTOR

In the matter of the Department of Behavioral Health
and Developmental Services
Ruling No. 2010-2364
July 27, 2009

The Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services (the agency) seeks to administratively close the grievant's May 18, 2009 grievance. The agency alleges that the grievant has failed to comply with the time limits set forth in the grievance procedure for advancing or concluding her grievance.

FACTS

On May 18, 2009, the grievant initiated a grievance alleging that she was harassed in the workplace until she was forced to resign from her position as a Licensed Practical Nurse. The agency asserts that it provided the grievant with a first step response on or about June 1, 2009. The agency further asserts that on June 12, 2009, it contacted the grievant via telephone to inform her that she was in noncompliance with the grievance procedure. On June 17, 2009, the agency sent a follow-up letter to the grievant reiterating the noncompliance, which the grievant received on June 18, 2009. The agency asserts that as of the date of the ruling request, the grievant had not corrected the purported noncompliance.

DISCUSSION

The grievance procedure requires both parties to address procedural noncompliance through a specific process.¹ That process assures that the parties first communicate with each other about the noncompliance, and resolve any compliance problems voluntarily, without this Department's (EDR's) involvement. Specifically, the party claiming noncompliance must notify the other party in writing and allow five workdays for the opposing party to correct any noncompliance.² If the opposing party fails to correct the noncompliance within this five-day period, the party claiming noncompliance may seek a compliance ruling from the EDR Director, who may in turn order the party to correct the noncompliance or, in cases of substantial noncompliance,

¹ *Grievance Procedure Manual* § 6.3.

² *Id.*

render a decision against the noncomplying party on any qualifiable issue. When an EDR ruling finds that either party to a grievance is in noncompliance, the ruling will (i) order the noncomplying party to correct its noncompliance within a specified time period, and (ii) provide that if the noncompliance is not timely corrected, a decision in favor of the other party will be rendered on any qualifiable issue, unless the noncomplying party can show just cause for the delay in conforming to EDR's order.³

In this case, the grievant appears to have failed to advance or conclude her grievance within five workdays of receiving the first step response. Moreover, the agency appears to have notified the grievant of her noncompliance, but the grievant has apparently not advanced or concluded her grievance.

As the grievant has apparently failed to advance or conclude her grievance in a timely manner, she has failed to comply with the grievance procedure.⁴ This Department therefore orders the grievant to correct her noncompliance **within ten work days of the date of this ruling** by notifying her agency human resources office in writing that she wishes to either conclude her grievance or advance her grievance to the second step. If she does not, the agency may administratively close the grievance without any further action on its part. The grievance may be reopened only upon a timely showing by the grievant of just cause for the delay (for example, a serious illness, or other circumstances beyond the grievant's control).

This Department's rulings on matters of compliance are final and nonappealable.⁵

Claudia T. Farr
Director

³ While in cases of substantial noncompliance with procedural rules the grievance statutes grant the EDR Director the authority to render a decision on a qualifiable issue against a noncompliant party, this Department favors having grievances decided on the merits rather than procedural violations. Thus, the EDR Director will *typically* order noncompliance corrected before rendering a decision against a noncompliant party. However, where a party's noncompliance appears driven by bad faith or a gross disregard of the grievance procedure, this Department will exercise its authority to rule against the party without first ordering the noncompliance to be corrected.

⁴ See *Grievance Procedure Manual* § 3.1.

⁵ See Va. Code § 2.2-1001(5); 2.2-3003(G).