Issue: Compliance – Grievance Procedure (5-Day Rule); Ruling Date: October 17, 2008; Ruling #2009-2148; Agency: Department of Mental Health, Mental Retardation and Substance Abuse Services; Outcome: Grievant Not In Compliance.

October 17, 2008 Ruling #2009-2148 Page 2



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA Department of Employment Dispute Resolution

COMPLIANCE RULING OF DIRECTOR

In the matter of the Department of Mental Health, Mental Retardation and Substance Abuse Services Ruling No. 2009-2148 October 17, 2008

The Department of Mental Health, Mental Retardation and Substance Abuse Services (the agency) seeks to administratively close the grievant's July 29, 2008 grievance. The agency alleges that the grievant has failed to comply with the time limits set forth in the grievance procedure for advancing or concluding her grievance.

FACTS

The grievant initiated her expedited grievance, dated July 29, 2008, to challenge her alleged "forced resignation." The second step-respondent provided a written response to the grievance on August 5, 2008, denying the grievant access to the grievance procedure, which the grievant received on August 7, 2008. However, the grievant has failed to return the grievance package to the agency to advance or conclude the grievance. Because the grievant never advanced or concluded her grievance within five workdays of receiving the initial step response, the agency mailed the grievant a notice of noncompliance on September 12, 2008. Because more than five workdays have elapsed since the grievant received the notice of noncompliance letter, and the grievant has not yet cured the noncompliance, the agency seeks a compliance ruling.

DISCUSSION

The grievance procedure requires both parties to address procedural noncompliance through a specific process.¹ That process assures that the parties first communicate with each other about the noncompliance, and resolve any compliance problems voluntarily, without this Department's (EDR's) involvement. Specifically, the party claiming noncompliance must notify the other party in writing and allow five workdays for the opposing party to correct any noncompliance.² If the opposing party fails to correct the noncompliance within this five-day period, the party claiming

¹ Grievance Procedure Manual § 6.3.

October 17, 2008 Ruling #2009-2148 Page 3

noncompliance may seek a compliance ruling from the EDR Director, who may in turn order the party to correct the noncompliance or, in cases of substantial noncompliance, render a decision against the noncomplying party on any qualifiable issue. When an EDR ruling finds that either party to a grievance is in noncompliance, the ruling will (i) order the noncomplying party to correct its noncompliance within a specified time period, and (ii) provide that if the noncompliance is not timely corrected, a decision in favor of the other party will be rendered on any qualifiable issue, unless the noncomplying party can show just cause for the delay in conforming to EDR's order.³

In this case, the grievant appears to have failed to advance or conclude her grievance within five workdays of receiving the initial resolution step response in this expedited grievance. Moreover, the agency appears to have notified the grievant of the noncompliance, but the grievant has not advanced or concluded the grievance.

As the grievant has apparently failed to advance or conclude her grievance in a timely manner, she has failed to comply with the grievance procedure.⁴ This Department therefore orders the grievant to correct this noncompliance **within ten workdays of the date of this ruling** by notifying her agency human resources office in writing that she wishes to either conclude the grievance or requesting that the agency head determine whether she has access to the grievance procedure. If she does not, the agency may administratively close the grievance without any further action on its part. The grievance may be reopened only upon a timely showing by the grievant of just cause for the delay (for example, a serious illness, or other circumstances beyond the grievant's control).

This Department's rulings on matters of compliance are final and nonappealable.⁵

Claudia T. Farr Director

³ While in cases of substantial noncompliance with procedural rules the grievance statutes grant the EDR Director the authority to render a decision on a qualifiable issue against a noncompliant party, this Department favors having grievances decided on the merits rather than procedural violations. Thus, the EDR Director will *typically* order noncompliance corrected before rendering a decision against a noncompliant party. However, where a party's noncompliance appears driven by bad faith or a gross disregard of the grievance procedure, this Department will exercise its authority to rule against the party without first ordering the noncompliance to be corrected.

⁴ See Grievance Procedure Manual § 2.3.

⁵ See Va. Code § 2.2-1001(5), 2.2-3003(G).