

Issue: Compliance – Grievance Procedure (5-Day Rule); Ruling Date: October 17, 2008; Ruling #2009-2119; Agency: Virginia Military Institute; Outcome: Grievant Not In Compliance.



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA
Department of Employment Dispute Resolution

COMPLIANCE RULING OF DIRECTOR

In the matter of the Virginia Military Institute
Ruling No. 2009-2119
October 17, 2008

The Virginia Military Institute (the agency) seeks a compliance ruling regarding the grievant's June 11, 2008 grievance. The agency alleges that the grievant has failed to comply with the time limits set forth in the grievance procedure for advancing or concluding his grievance.

FACTS

The grievant initiated his expedited grievance, dated June 11, 2008, to challenge a Group III Written Notice and termination. The second step respondent provided a written response to the grievance on June 26, 2008, which the grievant received on June 28, 2008. However, the grievant has failed to return the grievance package to the agency to advance or conclude the grievance. Because the grievant did not advance or conclude his grievance within five workdays of receiving the second step response, the agency mailed the grievant a notice of noncompliance on July 25, 2008, by certified mail. The grievant received the response on July 29, 2008, via certified mail tracking. Because more than five workdays have elapsed since the notice of noncompliance letter, and the grievant has apparently not yet cured the noncompliance, the agency seeks a compliance ruling.

DISCUSSION

The grievance procedure requires both parties to address procedural noncompliance through a specific process.¹ That process assures that the parties first communicate with each other about the noncompliance, and resolve any compliance problems voluntarily, without this Department's (EDR's) involvement. Specifically, the party claiming noncompliance must notify the other party in writing and allow five workdays for the opposing party to correct any noncompliance.² If the opposing party fails to correct the noncompliance within this five-day period, the party claiming noncompliance may seek a compliance ruling from the EDR Director,

¹ *Grievance Procedure Manual* § 6.3.

² *Id.*

who may in turn order the party to correct the noncompliance or, in cases of substantial noncompliance, render a decision against the noncomplying party on any qualifiable issue. When an EDR ruling finds that either party to a grievance is in noncompliance, the ruling will (i) order the noncomplying party to correct its noncompliance within a specified time period, and (ii) provide that if the noncompliance is not timely corrected, a decision in favor of the other party will be rendered on any qualifiable issue, unless the noncomplying party can show just cause for the delay in conforming to EDR's order.³

In this case, the grievant has failed to advance or conclude his grievance within five workdays of receiving the second resolution step response. Moreover, the agency appears to have notified the grievant of his noncompliance, but the grievant has apparently not advanced or concluded the grievance. As the grievant seems to have failed to advance or conclude his grievance in a timely manner, he has failed to comply with the grievance procedure.⁴ This Department therefore orders the grievant to correct his noncompliance, if he has not already done so, **within ten workdays of the date of this ruling** by notifying his agency human resources office in writing that he wishes to either conclude the grievance or request that his grievance be qualified for a hearing by also submitting the grievance form to the agency head. If he does not, the agency may administratively close the grievance without any further action on its part. The grievance may be reopened only upon a timely showing by the grievant of just cause for the delay (for example, a serious illness, or other circumstances beyond the grievant's control).

This Department's rulings on matters of compliance are final and nonappealable.⁵

Claudia T. Farr
Director

³ While in cases of substantial noncompliance with procedural rules the grievance statutes grant the EDR Director the authority to render a decision on a qualifiable issue against a noncompliant party, this Department favors having grievances decided on the merits rather than procedural violations. Thus, the EDR Director will *typically* order noncompliance corrected before rendering a decision against a noncompliant party. However, where a party's noncompliance appears driven by bad faith or a gross disregard of the grievance procedure, this Department will exercise its authority to rule against the party without first ordering the noncompliance to be corrected.

⁴ See *Grievance Procedure Manual* § 2.4.

⁵ See Va. Code § 2.2-1001(5); 2.2-3003(G).