Issue: Compliance: Grievance Procedure – 5 Day Rule; Ruling Date: May 9, 2007; Ruling #2007-1666; Agency: Virginia Department of Health; Outcome: Grievant not in compliance.

May 9, 2007 Ruling #2007-1666 Page 2



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA Department of Employment Dispute Resolution

COMPLIANCE RULING OF DIRECTOR

In the matter of Department of Health Ruling No. 2007-1666 May 9, 2007

The Department of Health (the agency) seeks to administratively close the grievant's November 16, 2006 grievance. The agency alleges that the grievant has failed to comply with the time limits set forth in the grievance procedure for advancing or concluding his grievance.

FACTS

On November 16, 2006, the grievant initiated his grievance. According to the agency, the grievant received the second resolution step response via certified mail on December 6, 2006. However, the grievant failed to conclude or return his grievance package to the agency. Because the grievant never advanced or concluded his grievance within five workdays of receiving the second resolution step response, the agency sent the grievant a notice of noncompliance via certified mail on March 29, 2007. According to the United States Postal Service (USPS) tracking service, the notice of noncompliance letter was received at the grievant's home address on March 30, 2007. Because more than five workdays have elapsed since the delivery of the notice of noncompliance letter, and the grievant has not yet cured the noncompliance, the agency seeks a compliance ruling.

DISCUSSION

The grievance procedure requires both parties to address procedural noncompliance through a specific process.¹ That process assures that the parties first communicate with each other about the noncompliance, and resolve any compliance problems voluntarily, without this Department's (EDR's) involvement. Specifically, the party claiming noncompliance must notify the other party in writing and allow five

¹ Grievance Procedure Manual § 6.3.

May 9, 2007 Ruling #2007-1666 Page 3

workdays for the opposing party to correct any noncompliance.² If the opposing party fails to correct the noncompliance within this five-day period, the party claiming noncompliance may seek a compliance ruling from the EDR Director, who may in turn order the party to correct the noncompliance or, in cases of substantial noncompliance, render a decision against the noncomplying party on any qualifiable issue. When an EDR ruling finds that either party to a grievance is in noncompliance, the ruling will (i) order the noncomplying party to correct its noncompliance within a specified time period, and (ii) provide that if the noncompliance is not timely corrected, a decision in favor of the other party will be rendered on any qualifiable issue, unless the noncomplying party can show just cause for the delay in conforming to EDR's order.³

In this case, the grievant has failed to advance or conclude his grievance within five workdays of receiving the agency's second resolution step response. Moreover, the agency appears to have notified the grievant of his noncompliance, but the grievant has not advanced or concluded the grievance.

As the grievant has failed to advance or conclude his grievance in a timely manner, he has failed to comply with the grievance procedure. This Department therefore orders the grievant to correct his noncompliance **within ten workdays of the date of this ruling** by notifying the agency human resources office in writing that he wishes to either conclude the grievance or advance his grievance to the third step. If he does not, the agency may administratively close the grievance without any further action on its part. The grievance may be reopened only upon a timely showing by the grievant of just cause for the delay (for example, a serious illness, or other circumstances beyond the grievant's control).

This Department's rulings on matters of compliance are final and nonappealable. 4

Claudia T. Farr Director

 2 Id.

³ While in cases of substantial noncompliance with procedural rules the grievance statutes grant the EDR Director the authority to render a decision on a qualifiable issue against a noncompliant party, this Department favors having grievances decided on the merits rather than procedural violations. Thus, the EDR Director will *typically* order noncompliance corrected before rendering a decision against a noncompliant party. However, where a party's noncompliance appears driven by bad faith or a gross disregard of the grievance procedure, this Department will exercise its authority to rule against the party without first ordering the noncompliance to be corrected.

⁴ See Va. Code § 2.2-1001(5).