Summary: Compliance-30-day rule; Ruling Date: May 7, 2002; Ruling #2001-211; Agency: Department of Social Services; Outcome: Grievant in compliance.

May 7, 2002 Ruling #2001-211 Page 2



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA Department of Employment Dispute Resolution

COMPLIANCE RULING OF DIRECTOR

In the matter of Department of Social Services Ruling Number 2001-211 May 7, 2002

ISSUE:

Did the grievant initiate the grievance in a timely manner?

RULING:

The grievance was filed within the 30 calendar day period and is therefore timely. The parties are advised to schedule a second-step meeting within five workdays of receipt of this ruling. This Department's rulings on matters of compliance are final and nonappealable.¹

EXPLANATION:

The grievance procedure provides that an employee must initiate a written grievance within 30 calendar days of the event or action that is the basis of the grievance.² The 30-day time frame begins when an employee knew or should have known about the management action that the employee is challenging.

In this case, management prepared a Group III Written Notice dated September 7, 2001 and terminated the grievant's employment, effective on that date. The Written Notice form and an explanatory letter (dated September 6^{th}) were mailed to the grievant, because she had been placed on pre-disciplinary suspension since August 22, 2001. This Department generally believes that it is reasonable to use a "three day rule" to determine the likely date of a party's receipt of mail. Using this rule, the grievant could be expected to have received the Group III Written Notice no earlier than September 10, 2001, which would be the date she "knew or should have known" of the management disciplinary action she later grieved.³

¹ Va. Code § 2.2-1001(5).

² Va. Code § 2.2-3003(C); Grievance Procedure Manual §2.4(1), page 6.

³ The grievant asserts that she actually received the mail terminating her later than September 10th. In support of this, the grievant has presented documentation that she had moved to a new address by the relevant dates and had submitted a forwarding order to the post office, thus requiring several additional days for delivery. Also, the grievant has submitted a postmarked envelope from the agency that she

May 7, 2002 Ruling #2001-211 Page 3

The grievant decided to challenge the termination and she faxed her Form A initiating her grievance to the Human Resources Department no later than October 10, 2001, within thirty calendar days of September 10, 2001.

Claudia Farr Director

Jeffrey L. Payne Employment Relations Consultant

believes contained the Written Notice form and letter -the postmark on the envelope is September 12, 2001.