

EMILY S. ELLIOTT DIRECTOR

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA Department Of Human Resource Management Office of Employment Dispute Resolution

COMPLIANCE RULING

In the matter of the University of Virginia Medical Center Ruling Number 2020-5064 March 5, 2020

The University of Virginia Medical Center (the "agency") has requested a compliance ruling from the Office of Employment Dispute Resolution ("EDR") at the Department of Human Resource Management ("DHRM")¹ in relation to the grievant's November 22, 2019 grievance. The agency alleges that the grievant has failed to comply with the time limits set forth in the grievance procedure for advancing or concluding her grievance.

FACTS

On November 22, 2019, the grievant initiated a grievance with the agency. The agency states that the grievant received the third step response on January 28, 2020. Having received no further response from the grievant, the agency sent, by email, a notice of noncompliance to her on February 18.² In its notice of noncompliance, the agency requested a response from the grievant within five workdays of her receipt of the notice. Since more than five workdays have elapsed since the agency notified the grievant of her alleged noncompliance and the grievant has not yet advanced or concluded her grievance, the agency seeks a compliance ruling allowing it to administratively close the grievance.

DISCUSSION

The grievance procedure requires both parties to address procedural noncompliance through a specific process.³ That process assures that the parties first communicate with each other about the noncompliance, and resolve any compliance problems voluntarily, without EDR's

James Monroe Building 101 N. 14th Street, 12th Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 1e1: (804) 225-2151 (TTY) 711

¹ The Office of Equal Employment and Dispute Resolution has separated into two office areas: the Office of Employment Dispute Resolution and the Office of Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion. While full updates have not yet been made to the *Grievance Procedure Manual* to reflect this change, this Office will be referred to as "EDR" in this ruling. EDR's role with regard to the grievance procedure remains the same.

² For purposes of this ruling, EDR will assume the grievant received the agency's emailed notice of noncompliance because there is nothing to indicate that it may have been sent to an incorrect email address or was otherwise improperly addressed. *Cf.*, *e.g.*, Washington v. Anderson, 236 Va. 316, 322, 373 S.E.2d 712, 715 (1988) (holding that the mailing of correspondence, properly addressed and stamped, raises a presumption of receipt of the correspondence by the addressee).

³ Grievance Procedure Manual § 6.3.

March 5, 2020 Ruling No. 2020-5064 Page 2

involvement. Specifically, the party claiming noncompliance must notify the other party in writing and allow five workdays for the opposing party to correct any noncompliance.⁴ If the opposing party fails to correct the noncompliance within this five-day period, the party claiming noncompliance may seek a compliance ruling from EDR, who may in turn order the party to correct the noncompliance or, in cases of substantial noncompliance, render a decision against the noncomplying party on any qualifiable issue. When an EDR ruling finds that either party to a grievance is in noncompliance, the ruling will (i) order the noncomplying party to correct its noncompliance within a specified time period, and (ii) provide that if the noncompliance is not timely corrected, a decision in favor of the other party will be rendered on any qualifiable issue, unless the noncomplying party can show just cause for the delay in conforming to EDR's order.⁵

In this case, the grievant appears to have failed to advance or conclude her grievance within five workdays of receiving the agency's third resolution step response, as required by the grievance procedure.⁶ Moreover, the agency notified the grievant of her noncompliance and she has not advanced or concluded her grievance.

As the grievant has apparently failed to advance or conclude her grievance in a timely manner, she has failed to comply with the grievance procedure. EDR therefore orders the grievant to correct her noncompliance **within ten workdays of the date of this ruling** by notifying her human resources office in writing that she wishes either to conclude the grievance or request qualification for a hearing from the agency head. If she does not, the agency may administratively close the grievance without any further action on its part. The grievance may be reopened only upon a timely showing by the grievant of just cause for the delay (for example, a serious illness, or other circumstances beyond the grievant's control).

EDR's rulings on matters of compliance are final and nonappealable.⁷

Of the Sl

Christopher M. Grab Director Office of Employment Dispute Resolution

⁴ See id.

⁵ While in cases of substantial noncompliance with procedural rules the grievance statutes grant EDR the authority to render a decision on a qualifiable issue against a noncompliant party, EDR favors having grievances decided on the merits rather than procedural violations. Thus, EDR will *typically* order noncompliance corrected before rendering a decision against a noncompliant party. However, where a party's noncompliance appears driven by bad faith or a gross disregard of the grievance procedure, EDR will exercise its authority to rule against the party without first ordering the noncompliance to be corrected.

⁶ See Grievance Procedure Manual § 3.3.

⁷ See Va. Code §§ 2.2-1202.1(5), 2.2-3003(G).