Issue: Compliance – Grievance Procedure (30 Day Rule); Ruling Date: November 10, 2016; Ruling No. 2017-4438; Agency: Virginia Community College System; Outcome: Grievant Not in Compliance.



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

Department of Human Resource ManagementOffice of Employment Dispute Resolution

COMPLIANCE RULING

In the matter of the Virginia Community College System Ruling Number 2017-4438 November 10, 2016

The Virginia Community College System (the agency) seeks a compliance ruling concerning the grievant's filing of a dismissal grievance. The agency asserts that the grievant did not initiate her grievance within the 30 calendar day time period required by the grievance procedure. For the reasons set forth below, this grievance is untimely and will be administratively closed.

FACTS

The grievant initiated a dismissal grievance directly with the Office of Employment Dispute Resolution (EDR) on Wednesday, October 21, 2016, the date an e-mail message was sent to EDR by the grievant with the Dismissal Grievance Form A as an attachment. According to the Dismissal Grievance Form A, the grievant's dismissal date was September 20, 2016, which the agency confirms. As such, the agency asserts that the grievance was initiated untimely.

Ordinarily, if a Grievance Form A does not comply with the requirements for initiating a grievance, the agency may notify the employee, using the Grievance Form A, that the grievance will be administratively closed. Because dismissal grievances are initiated directly with EDR, an agency is essentially unable to follow this process as outlined. Accordingly, it has requested a ruling from this Office regarding the issue of alleged noncompliance.

DISCUSSION

The grievance procedure provides that an employee must initiate a written grievance within 30 calendar days of the date he or she knew or should have known of the event or action that is the basis of the grievance.³ When an employee initiates a grievance beyond the 30 calendar-day period without just cause, the grievance is not in compliance with the grievance procedure and may be administratively closed.

¹ Grievance Procedure Manual § 2.4.

² Grievance Procedure Manual § 2.5.

³ Va. Code § 2.2-3003(C); Grievance Procedure Manual § 2.2.

November 10, 2016 Ruling No. 2017-4438 Page 3

In this case, the event that forms the basis of this grievance is the grievant's termination on September 20, 2016. Therefore, the grievant should have initiated her grievance within 30 days, i.e., no later than October 20, 2016. The date upon which EDR received the grievance indicates that it was not initiated until October 21, 2016. Because the grievant initiated her grievance more than 30 calendar days beyond the date on which she was terminated, the grievance is untimely. Thus, the only remaining issue is whether there was just cause for the delay.

The grievant presents no facts that would constitute evidence of just cause for a delay in initiating her grievance. EDR has long held that it is incumbent upon each employee to know his or her responsibilities under the grievance procedure. A grievant's lack of knowledge about the grievance procedure and its requirements does not constitute just cause for failure to act in a timely manner. Thus, EDR concludes that the grievant has failed to demonstrate just cause for her delay.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, EDR concludes that the grievance was not timely initiated and there is no just cause for the delay. The grievance will be marked as concluded due to noncompliance and EDR will close its file. EDR's rulings on matters of compliance are final and nonappealable.⁵

Christopher M. Grab

Oto the Sh

Director

Office of Employment Dispute Resolution

⁴ See, e.g., EDR Ruling Nos. 2006-1349, 2006-1350; EDR Ruling No. 2002-159; EDR Ruling No. 2002-057.

⁵ Va. Code §§ 2.2-1202.1(5), 2.2-3003(G).