

Issue: Compliance – Grievance Procedure (5-Day Rule); Ruling Date: May 6, 2015;  
Ruling No. 2015-4147; Agency: Department of Corrections; Outcome: Grievant Not  
in Compliance.



**COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA**  
**Department of Human Resource Management**  
**Office of Employment Dispute Resolution**

**COMPLIANCE RULING**

In the matter of the Department of Corrections  
Ruling Number 2015-4147  
May 6, 2015

The Department of Corrections (the “agency”) has requested a compliance ruling from the Office of Employment Dispute Resolution (“EDR”) at the Department of Human Resource Management in relation to the grievant’s December 24, 2014 grievance. The agency alleges that the grievant has failed to comply with the time limits set forth in the grievance procedure for advancing or concluding his grievance.

FACTS

On or about December 24, 2014, the grievant initiated a grievance with the agency. The third step response was mailed to the grievant on or about April 2, 2015. Having received no further response from the grievant, the agency mailed, by regular mail, a notice of noncompliance to him on April 21.<sup>1</sup> In its notice of noncompliance, the agency requested a response from the grievant within five workdays of his receipt of the notice. Since more than five workdays have elapsed since the grievant presumably received notice of his alleged noncompliance and he has not yet advanced or concluded his grievance, the agency seeks a compliance ruling allowing it to administratively close the grievance.

DISCUSSION

The grievance procedure requires both parties to address procedural noncompliance through a specific process.<sup>2</sup> That process assures that the parties first communicate with each other about the noncompliance, and resolve any compliance problems voluntarily, without EDR’s involvement. Specifically, the party claiming noncompliance must notify the other party in writing and allow five workdays for the opposing party to correct any noncompliance.<sup>3</sup> If the opposing party fails to correct the noncompliance within this five-day period, the party claiming noncompliance may seek a compliance ruling from EDR, who may in turn order the party to

---

<sup>1</sup> The mailing of correspondence, properly addressed and stamped, raises a presumption of receipt of the correspondence by the addressee. *E.g.*, *Washington v. Anderson*, 236 Va. 316, 322, 373 S.E.2d 712, 715 (1988). For purposes of this ruling, therefore, we will assume the grievant received both the third step response and the agency’s notice of noncompliance because there is nothing to indicate that either piece of correspondence was improperly addressed.

<sup>2</sup> *Grievance Procedure Manual* § 6.3.

<sup>3</sup> *See id.*

correct the noncompliance or, in cases of substantial noncompliance, render a decision against the noncomplying party on any qualifiable issue. When an EDR ruling finds that either party to a grievance is in noncompliance, the ruling will (i) order the noncomplying party to correct its noncompliance within a specified time period, and (ii) provide that if the noncompliance is not timely corrected, a decision in favor of the other party will be rendered on any qualifiable issue, unless the noncomplying party can show just cause for the delay in conforming to EDR's order.<sup>4</sup>

In this case, the grievant appears to have failed to advance or conclude his grievance within five workdays of receiving the agency's third resolution step response, as required by the grievance procedure.<sup>5</sup> Moreover, the agency notified the grievant of his noncompliance and the grievant has not advanced or concluded his grievance.

As the grievant has apparently failed to advance or conclude his grievance in a timely manner, he has failed to comply with the grievance procedure. We therefore order the grievant to correct his noncompliance **within ten workdays of the date of this ruling** by notifying his human resources office in writing that he wishes either to conclude the grievance or request qualification from the agency head. If he does not, the agency may administratively close the grievance without any further action on its part. The grievance may be reopened only upon a timely showing by the grievant of just cause for the delay (for example, a serious illness, or other circumstances beyond the grievant's control).

EDR's rulings on matters of compliance are final and nonappealable.<sup>6</sup>



---

Christopher M. Grab  
Director  
Office of Employment Dispute Resolution

---

<sup>4</sup> While in cases of substantial noncompliance with procedural rules the grievance statutes grant EDR the authority to render a decision on a qualifiable issue against a noncompliant party, EDR favors having grievances decided on the merits rather than procedural violations. Thus, EDR will *typically* order noncompliance corrected before rendering a decision against a noncompliant party. However, where a party's noncompliance appears driven by bad faith or a gross disregard of the grievance procedure, EDR will exercise its authority to rule against the party without first ordering the noncompliance to be corrected.

<sup>5</sup> See *Grievance Procedure Manual* § 3.3.

<sup>6</sup> See Va. Code §§ 2.2-1202.1(5), 2.2-3003(G).