

JANET L. LAWSON DIRECTOR

**COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA** *Department Of Human Resource Management Office of Employment Dispute Resolution* 

## **COMPLIANCE RULING**

In the matter of the Department of Corrections Ruling Number 2023-5566 June 8, 2023

The Department of Corrections (the "agency") has requested a compliance ruling from the Office of Employment Dispute Resolution ("EDR") at the Department of Human Resource Management in relation to the grievant's July 28, 2022 grievance. The agency alleges that the grievant has failed to comply with the time limits set forth in the grievance procedure for advancing or concluding the grievance.

## FACTS

On or about July 28, 2022, the grievant initiated a dismissal grievance. After EDR determined that the grievance did not involve a performance-based dismissal or other formal discipline, the agency instead handled the grievance as an expedited grievance. The single-step meeting was held on August 26, 2022, and the single-step response was sent to the grievant via email on September 6, 2022. Having received no further response from the grievant, the agency emailed the grievant on May 15, 2023 as a follow-up. Still having received no further response, on June 5, 2023, the agency requested a compliance ruling from EDR.<sup>1</sup> As more than five workdays have elapsed since the agency notified the grievant of the alleged noncompliance and the grievant has not yet advanced or concluded the grievance, the agency seeks a compliance ruling allowing it to administratively close the grievance.

## **DISCUSSION**

The grievance procedure requires both parties to address procedural noncompliance through a specific process.<sup>2</sup> That process assures that the parties first communicate with each other about the noncompliance, and resolve any problems voluntarily, without EDR's involvement. Specifically, the party claiming noncompliance must notify the other party in writing and allow five workdays for the opposing party to correct any noncompliance.<sup>3</sup> If the opposing party fails to

James Monroe Building 101 N. 14<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219

Tel: (804) 225-2131 (TTY) 711

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the agency's email to EDR, the agency states that a noncompliance notice was sent to the grievant on that same day of June 5. No such notice appears in the grievance record submitted to EDR. We will refer to the May 15 email as the proper noncompliance notice sent to the grievant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Grievance Procedure Manual § 6.3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See id.

June 8, 2023 Ruling No. 2023-5566 Page 2

correct the noncompliance within this five-day period, the party claiming noncompliance may seek a compliance ruling from EDR, who may in turn order the party to correct the noncompliance or, in cases of substantial noncompliance, render a decision against the noncomplying party on any qualifiable issue. When EDR finds that either party to a grievance is in noncompliance, its ruling will (i) order the noncomplying party to correct its noncompliance within a specified time period, and (ii) provide that if the noncompliance is not timely corrected, a decision in favor of the other party will be rendered on any qualifiable issue, unless the noncomplying party can show just cause for the delay in conforming to EDR's order.<sup>4</sup>

In this case, the grievant appears to have failed to advance or conclude the grievance within five workdays of receiving the agency's single-step response, as required by the grievance procedure.<sup>5</sup> Moreover, the grievant has not advanced or concluded the grievance since receiving the agency's notice of noncompliance. While the May 15 email was not explicitly a noncompliance notice, since it did not mention noncompliance nor the five-workday deadline to respond, EDR interprets it as a noncompliance notice due to it requesting the grievant to either advance or conclude the grievance. Moreover, several months have passed since the single-step response was delivered to the grievant in September 2022. EDR interprets the May 15 email as sufficient notice, and more than five workdays have lapsed since that email.

As the grievant has apparently failed to advance or conclude the grievance in a timely manner, the grievant has failed to comply with the grievance procedure. EDR therefore orders the grievant to correct the noncompliance **within ten workdays of the date of this ruling** by notifying the agency's human resources office in writing that the grievant wishes to either conclude the grievance or seek qualification of the grievance for a hearing. If the grievant does not, the agency may administratively close the grievance without any further action on its part. The grievance may be reopened only upon a timely showing by the grievant of just cause for the delay (for example, a serious illness, or other circumstances beyond the grievant's control).

EDR's rulings on matters of compliance are final and nonappealable.<sup>6</sup>

**Christopher M. Grab** Director Office of Employment Dispute Resolution

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Although the grievance statutes grant EDR the authority to render a decision on a qualifiable issue against a noncompliant party in cases of substantial noncompliance with procedural rules, EDR favors having grievances decided on the merits rather than procedural violations. Thus, EDR will *typically* order noncompliance corrected before rendering a decision against a noncompliant party. However, where a party's noncompliance appears driven by bad faith or a gross disregard of the grievance procedure, EDR will exercise its authority to rule against the party without first ordering the noncompliance to be corrected.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Grievance Procedure Manual § 3.4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Va. Code §§ 2.2-1202.1(5), 2.2-3003(G).